

Result of the survey made to the members of the Association




What do you think about the state of the profession?





 **77 % Like the denomination of archivists**

25 % It would be necessary to separate conceptually, in the Anglo-Saxon style, between archivists and records managers




 **28 % It would be necessary to change the name and the concept of the profession**

Name Proposals:

-  Records managers 
-  Archivists-records managers
-  Records and information managers
-  The name is not relevant but it does define and separate what is done in a historical archive of what is done in a records management service

Similar professions that could be included in the new name and concept of the profession



Who else could we include?

Data analysts 
Content management engineers

45 % The profession is ready for the change to electronic administration




94 % Archivists have a future in public administration

If not, what should we do to have it?




Reinforce the figure of the archivist-records manager and defend himself before the IT people, computer scientists and documentalists


96 % Records managers have a future in public administration




75 % The profession has a future in the private sector

If not, what should we change to have it?

 In the private sector, IT people, process technicians and documentalists are the ones that companies think will be useful.

 Be able to show the value of our work to companies, employers, conventions. It is necessary to take advantage of the current moment of "the digitalization of companies". And focus on the management and exploitation of data.

 Have a more focused formation to the technological solutions, not only a solid conceptual baggage.